



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/766,403

01/27/2004

Luiz Belardinelli

02-479-E

3369

20306

7590

12/16/2009

MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP  
300 S. WACKER DRIVE  
32ND FLOOR  
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

CRANE, LAWRENCE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/766,403	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BELARDINELLI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lawrence E. Crane	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(2) A. Blair Hughes.

**Date of Interview:** 14 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*All ODP's*

Claims discussed:  
*all of record, none specifically*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*All cited references and applications*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Applicant was advised that the ODP over 11/253,322 would be maintained because the preferred embodiments at paragraphs 17,18,26 and 27 corresponded to the instant claimed composition, but all other ODP's would be dropped. A TD was suggested.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pre-appeal conference requested.

(3) Kathleen K. Bragdon QAS.

(4) S. Anna Jiang SPE.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)